

**Károlyházy's quantum space-time generates
neutron star density in vacuum**

Lajos Diósi¹ and Béla Lukács²

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics

H-1525 Budapest 114, POB 49, Hungary

Abstract

By simple arguments, we have shown that Károlyházy's model overestimates the quantum uncertainty of the space-time geometry and leads to absurd physical consequences. The given model can thus not account for gradual violation of quantum coherence and can not predict tiny experimental effects either.

¹ diosi@rmki.kfki.hu

² lukacs@rmki.kfki.hu

In a pioneering paper [1], it was suggested that the quantum mechanics of macroscopic objects ought to be modified due to a certain eventual unsharpness of space-time geometry. Later on, the possibility of experimental verification of the model, too, has been developed [2,3]. The idea went as follows.

By combining Heisenberg's uncertainty principle with gravitation, the following relation has been obtained for the minimum uncertainty Δs of a single (timelike) geodesic:

$$\Delta s^2 = \alpha^{4/3} s^{2/3}, \quad (1)$$

where s is the length of the geodesic and α is the Planck length [c.f. Eq.(3.1) of Ref.1]. Then this uncertainty is believed to be a universal lower bound, and so must appear in the space time in an objective way. This was done via random "gravitational waves".

The present authors [4] reanalysed the concept leading to Eq.(1). A result is that in Refs.1 and 2 the value M of mass realizing the least uncertainty along the given geodesic takes unrealistically high values $\sim \frac{\hbar}{c} \alpha^{-4/3} s^{1/3}$. For example, a geodesic of length $s = 1$ lightsecond would require a mass $M \sim 10^{10}g$ to be "realized". By other words, the optimum mass of a clock to measure a period of $1s$ would weigh ten thousand metric tons.

This result does not directly invalidate the concept of Refs.1 and 2. Namely, the argumentation needs only the *existence* of a certain lower absolute bound for the uncertainty; it does not involve *real* clocks directly. However, the high mass problem is intimately connected with another problem as will immediately be seen.

The original paper [1] as well as the further ones [2,3] propose that the space-time uncertainties be represented by *random* gravitational waves. These gravitational waves γ satisfy the linearized vacuum Einstein equations:

$$\square \gamma = 0 \quad (2)$$

see Eq.(3.2) of Ref.1. Adopting all the time the conservative notations of Ref.1, the gravitational wave $\gamma(x, y, z, t)$ is expanded as a superposition of plane waves:

$$\gamma = \sum_k c_{\mathbf{k}} \cos(k_x x) \cos(k_y y) \cos(k_z z) \cos(kct) + \dots \quad (3)$$

The random coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}}$ are uncorrelated. Their average is zero while the spreads are given by

$$L^3 \bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \alpha^{4/3} k^{-5/3} \quad (4)$$

where L is the normalization volume [c.f. Eqs.(3.4) and (3.5) of Ref.1]. The above equation is the only one which is conform to the uncertainty relation (1).

According to the intentions implicit in Refs.1 and 2, the space-time geometries defined by Eqs.(2) and (3) must be approximate solutions of the Einstein equations. However, it turns out that they will not. Though they satisfy, by construction, the *linearized* vacuum Einstein equations (2), the conditions for the linear approximation will seriously fail. We are going to test two rather trivial conditions. The first will hold but the second will not.

Let us calculate the mean squared deviation of the metric tensor from its Minkowski value. Squaring both sides of the Eq.(3) and taking stochastic averages of the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}}$, one obtains:

$$\bar{\gamma}^2 \sim \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \sim \alpha^{4/3} L^{-3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^{-5/3} \sim (\alpha k_{max})^{4/3}. \quad (5)$$

One needs a finite cutoff on k otherwise the amplitude of the random waves would diverge. Károlyházy suggests $k_{max} = 10^{13} cm^{-1}$ and this assures that γ is much smaller than the unity. This was the first condition for applying the linear form (2) of the Einstein equations.

As for the second condition, let us first invoke the expansion of the scalar curvature R up to the second order in γ [c.f.Ref.5]:

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \square \gamma_{ii} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ij} \square \gamma_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{ij,k} \gamma_{ij,k} + \frac{1}{4} (\gamma_{ij,k} - \gamma_{ik,j})(\gamma_{ij,k} - \gamma_{ik,j}) + \dots \quad (6)$$

Now, by substituting the waves (3) into this equation, the first order term indeed vanishes. The magnitude of the average of the remaining terms can be estimated by invoking Eq.(4); one obtains:

$$\bar{R} \sim \alpha^{4/3} k_{max}^{10/3}. \quad (7)$$

This curvature is extremely high. Using the previous cutoff we are led to $\bar{R} \sim 1cm^{-2}$. So the corresponding fluctuating metric is not at all the "extremely small smearing" [1] of the flat space-time, thought before.

According to the exact Einstein equation $R = \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{\hbar c}T$. Hence the curvature (7) would assume an average energy-density in the order of

$$\bar{T} \sim \hbar c \alpha^{-2/3} k_{max}^{10/3}. \quad (8)$$

Observe the dramatic change: in the energy-density the Planck length appears with *inverse* (two-thirds) power. Therefore the interplay of two small length scales may result in anything. The original cutoff $k_{max} = 10^{13}cm^{-1}$ would yield

$$\frac{\bar{T}}{c^2} \sim 10^{26}g/cm^3, \quad (9)$$

i.e. 11 orders of magnitude *above neutron star density*.

In Ref.1 the details of the cutoff were thought of no importance. We have, however, pointed out that the original cutoff would imply absurd results for cosmological mass density. Since the cutoff k_{max} is the only free parameter in the model one may hope to save the theory by choosing a lower value for it. Unfortunately, the choice $k_{max} = 10^5cm^{-1}$, familiar from e.g. the model of Ghirardi et al. [6], yields still water density. Further decrease of k_{max} is needed. Then, however, there would be only macroscopic wavelengths $1/k$ and the gravitational fluctuations (3) would not play a rôle in the quantum-classical transition anymore. The trace (9) in itself could be removed by means of an incredibly high cosmologic constant Λ , but in the Robertson-Walker Universe geometries two nontrivial components of the Einstein equations survive, and one cannot remove the problem from both.

Obviously, the Károlyházy model [1] has shown to overestimate something in the assumed quantum smearing of the space time. The spectrum (4) of gravitational fluctuations is certainly wrong whatever cutoff is chosen. The proposals outlined in Refs.[2,3] derive extremely fine effects to observe experimentally. In the light of the cosmological absurdity of the model we wonder if such tiny effects would have to be taken serious.

The necessity and timeliness to perform the present research were recognized in a discussion with Prof. P. Gnädig of the Eötvös University. This work was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund under Grant No 1822/1991.

References

- [1] F.Károlyházy, NuovoCim. **XLIIA**, 1506 (1966)
- [2] F.Károlyházy,A.Frenkel and B.Lukács, in:Physics as natural philosophy, eds. A.Shimony and H.Feschbach (MIT Press, Cambridge,MA,1982)
- [3] F.Károlyházy,A.Frenkel and B.Lukács, in:Quantum concepts in space and time, eds. R.Penrose and C.J.Isham (Clarendon,Oxford,1986)
- [4] L.Diósi and B.Lukács, Phys.Lett. **142A**,331(1989)
- [5] C.W.Misner,K.S.Thorne,J.A.Wheeler: Gravitation (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973)
- [6] G.C.Ghirardi,A.Rimini and T.Weber, Phys.Rev.**D34**, 470 (1986)